OFFICE OF THE APPELLATE AUTHORITY DELHI RIGHT TO INFORMATION ACT 2001

PUBLIC GRIEVANCES COMMISSION Govt. of National Capital Territory of Delhi

Date of hearing: 22nd February, 2018

Appellant : Shri Manoj Kumar Sharma

Competent Authority : Deputy Commissioner (City SP Zone),

North DMC,

Nigam Bhawan, Old Hindu College Bldg.,

Kashmere Gate Delhi – 110 006.

Appeal No. : 292/2017/PGC/DRI/NDMC

Application filed on : 28/06/2017
Response of Competent : No response

Authority

Appeal filed on : 09/08/2017 First hearing in PGC, : 22/02/2018

Scheduled on

1. Brief facts of the Appeal

Shri Manoj Kumar Sharma sought information, during June, 2017, from the Competent Authority under Delhi RTI Act, 2001, i.e. Deputy Commissioner (City SP Zone), North DMC, making queries on total 26 counts.

Shri Manoj Kumar Sharma received no response from the Office of Deputy Commissioner (City SP Zone), North DMC. Hence, he filed an Appeal during August, 2017, before the Appellate Authority / PGC, under Section 7 of the Delhi Right to Information Act, 2001.

2. Proceedings in the Public Grievances Commission

The Public Grievances Commission has convened its first hearing on 22nd February, 2018. At today's hearing on 22/02/2018, the attendance was as follows:

Appellant : Absent

Respondent: Shri Vinod Bansal, A.E. (B), City SPZ. North DMC

3. Relevant facts emerging during the hearing

At today's hearing on 22/02/2018, representative of the department submitted a reply 3.1

dated 21/02/2018 before the Appellate Authority. The reply was examined.

3.2 On perusal of reply, it has been revealed that the department has provided satisfactory

information in respect of Query Nos., b,c,d,e,f,g,i,j,k,l,m,q, s,v and z, hence no further

action is required in the matter.

In respect of Query No. (a) & (h), the information asked by the appellant is not a 3rd party 3.3

information and total area to be provided to the appellant.

3.4 In respect of Query No. (n) & (o), dates when notices were issued / orders executed may

be provided but not copies of orders.

3.5 In respect of Query No. (p) & (r) the information asked by the appellant cannot be

treated as 3rd party information and the same should be provided.

3.6 In respect of Query No. (t) date and file number vide which Completion Certificate issued

may be given.

3.7 In respect of Query No. (u), (w), (x) and (y), the information should be provided to the

appellant.

4. **Directions of the PGC**

4.1 Since the reply in respect of Query No. (b), (c), (d), (e), (f), (g), (i), (j), (k), (l), (m), (q),

(s), (v) and (z) are found satisfactory, no further action is required on these points.

4.2 In respect of Query Nos.(a), (h), (n), (o), (p), (r), (t), (u), (w), (x) and (y), revised reply

should be provided to the appellant as directed in para 3.2 to 3.7 above to the appellant

within 15 days from the date of issue of this Order, with a copy to the Commission.

4.3 The reply should be furnished to the appellant under the signatures of Competent

Authority, properly stamped, having the name of the Competent Authority (documents, if

any, appended with the reply should be certified either by the Competent Authority or an

official deputed by the Competent Authority).

With the above directions / observations the present appeal case is ordered to disposed of before the Appellate Authority / Member (PGC).

Since the appellant was not present, copy of the reply received from the Competent Authority be sent to the appellant alongwith the order.

> (SUDHIR YADAV) APPELLATE AUTHORITY / MEMBER (PGC)

Copy to:

- Deputy Commissioner (City SP Zone), North DMC, Nigam Bhawan, Old Hindu College 1. Building, Kashmere Gate, Delhi – 110 006.
- 2. Shri Manoj Kumar Sharma

Website: www.pgc.delhigovt.nic.in : E-mail: pgcdelhi@nic.in